Meeting called to order at 6:30 pm by Eric. Select Board members Jo Beth Dudley, Thomas Dubreuil (Tom), and Eric Moore were physically present. Town Admin, Jeanette Charon present and note taking.

Public attendees: Pam Kathan, Michael Ryan, Michael Crosby, Nancy Crosby, Mandy Deveno, Paul Deveno, Warren Green, Jennifer Green, Jay Ennis, Kris Ennis, Scott Kleinschrodt, Adam King, Sean Durkin, Gordon Jobe, Carol Sheltry, Cathleen Fountain, Terri Parks, Kevin Roy, Rebecca Metcalf, and videographer Emily Thompson as well as others who chose not to sign in and could not be identified.

Casella was present to provide answers to questions the Board had regarding the solid waste proposal issued by their company. Jo Beth asked if all recyclables were included in their proposal. All household recycling items (e.g. plastics, paper, cardboard) are included with exception of white goods, electronics, appliances, refrigerators, and tires. Furniture is included in municipal solid waste or construction debris as is carpet. Mattresses are separate at \$19/unit. Recycling is handled as single source or comingled. Tom asked how long Casella served Dalton previously. Casella served Dalton for nearly 30 years, prior to the town moving to Carberry in 2019/2020. Tom asked if the proposal was just a quote or if it was a contract through 2027? Casella noted there would be a 30 days contract cancellation clause and can be extended in two-year increments after 2027. Jo Beth clarified what we had was a proposal, not a contract. Tom stated he wanted some clarification on some things printed in the Caledonian. When asked what article by Jo Beth and Jeanette, Tom was not able to answer. He stated that the Caledonian included in an article that Casella was banned from trucking trash to Carberry. He also asked about there being two different prices being provided by Casella, stated in the Caledonian. Kevin stated it usually is two different prices because construction debris takes up more space. Casella stated they were not banned from trucking into Carberry that they knew of. Paul Deveno confirmed 2027 would be the closure of NCES. GSL would start in January 2028 at the very earliest causing at least a one-year gap. Casella stated that they have the infrastructure to move that waste to another location from NCES during that gap period. Paul confirmed whether or not it would be under the same terms as the NCES facility. Casella confirmed that was correct. Mandy Deveno asked about the recycling collection and MSW collection. Recycling would be single stream according to Casella. Jo Beth stated our transfer station already separates them. Casella stated it would no longer be necessary for households to separate the items. Jo Beth asked if the bins would be provided; Casella stated they would not be.

Gordon Jobe asked about the quality control/assurance during construction. Casella let them know they can provide the landfill information another time, but tonight was to discuss the proposal for the transfer station. Mandy Deveno asked is Carberry was coming to present tonight. Jeanette let the audience know they were not interested in coming in to talk about the services they provide at the price they charge. Jo Beth confirmed that we know those details because we use them already and have for years. Pam Kathan asked if they could get the information on the services they provide and the cost. Jo Beth replied by stating they charge \$72/ton for MSW and Construction Waste. Pam asked if they take mattresses and charge for them. Jeanette replied that they do take them and do not charge for them. Tom stated they charge if there are many at one time, which would be a special situation. Pam asked if they would charge if we did a bulk number of mattresses. Jeanette stated they were not equipped to do bulk but, if necessary, they would provide us with a special quote. Tom added that when he talked to Carberry, one or two, three or four is passable, but 15-20 would have a charge. Jeanette confirmed that is what Carberry stated. Dalton doesn't generally have a large number of mattresses at one time.

Scott Kleinschrodt thanked the Board for holding the meeting. He asked how the people knew the topic was being discussed tonight. Carol Sheltry stated it was discussed at the last meeting. Jeanette stated it was added short notice because originally Casella wanted to come on Veteran's Day, which is a holiday. Scott appreciated them coming and also reminded everyone that he asked for a posted agenda and claimed Jeanette stated we would start posting the agenda. Jeanette corrected him, as she did not say that. She stated it could be done by the Board, but there was no motion, no agreement, and no commitment by the Select Board to do so. Scott asked if there is an RSA stating agendas must be posted before meetings; Jeanette confirmed there is not. Scott asked if it is common sense to post the agenda before a meeting; Jeanette stated that was subjective. Scott claims that he comes regularly enough and only comes if there is something he wants to hear about. He believes the Board and Town Administrator should provide the information so he can make the decision whether or not he wants to come or not. Scott asked Eric to make a motion to have posted agenda in the future, at least four days prior to the meeting. Eric made the motion. Jeanette stated the agenda is not done 4 days prior, then he stated it should be 3 days prior. Jeanette said it's generally not done that soon. Scott proceeded to tell Jeanette to 'get organized'. Jeanette stated that she is not the one unorganized. She doesn't determine what's on the agenda; that information is supposed to come from the Board. Jo Beth noted that when she was chair, she worked with Jeanette as she (as chair) prepared the agenda. Scott continued that when he ran a committee last year, Jeanette told him he was required to post an agenda before every meeting and have his minutes done within five days. Jeanette asked if it was her that stated that and he claimed it was. Eric asked Scott to keep it to public comments. Eric asked if the Board wanted to discuss the motion after Scott reminded him it was on the table. Jo Beth stated it should be discussed during the normal business of the meeting as there is a lot of business to discuss. Scott said it was a simple vote. Tom provided a second to Eric's motion and it was approved via roll call vote. Jeanette asked the Board to provide the agenda by the Monday the week prior to the meeting if having the agenda available Tuesday is required. Jeanette wasn't sure if we were talking business days or calendar days. She doesn't receive any items from the Chair or the Board so she makes one based on the information she needs to discuss with the Board. Jo Beth stated the Board should try to post the agenda on the Friday prior to the meeting, if possible, noting there may be weeks when that is not possible. Eric asked Scott if he was ok with that and he replied that it was. Tom thanked Casella for coming to the meeting.

The Select Board signed AP and payroll checks.

Jeanette presented the September bank reconciliations and detailed transaction report for Board review.

Jeanette asked the Board to re-sign the Keys Current Use application approved previously, as it was an old form and the Register of Deeds wouldn't accept it. The Board re-signed the application.

Jeanette presented the 3Q Sewer Tax Warrant for approval and signature. Jo Beth asked if the rates have been constant. Jeanette confirmed that they have so far. Jo Beth motioned with a second by Eric and approval via roll call vote.

Jeanette provided a pistol permit for the Chair's signature.

Jeanette presented the Election Warrant for the Board to execute. Jo Beth provided a motion with Eric providing a second. Approval via roll call vote.

Jeanette provided the legal invoice from Upton & Hatfield stating it was too vague and could not be reconciled. Jo Beth reviewed the invoice, as did Eric and Tom. Jo Beth read aloud random items and billed amounts. Phone calls, topics, etc. were not recognizable. Neither Eric or Tom could provide information on items invoiced. All three Board members stated they had not held any long meetings with the attorney as the invoice indicated. Tom reported one very short (30 second) phone call to check on the status of documentation. Tom stated that perhaps someone else was using our legal account. Jo Beth offered to contact the attorney to get additional information. The Board agreed Jeanette should reach out asking for additional details to reconcile the invoice.

Jo Beth motioned to approve the September 30, 2024 meeting minutes. Eric provided a second with Tom abstaining.

Eric motioned for approval on September 30, 2024 nonpublic meeting minutes #1, and #2. Jo Beth provided a second. Tom voted nay for NP #1 and yay for NP #2.

Eric motioned to approve the October 15, 2024 meeting minutes. Jo Beth provided a second confirming one minor correction to the minutes. Tom abstained.

Jo Beth motioned to approve the October 15, 2024 nonpublic meeting minutes #1, 2, and 3. Eric provided a second. Tom voted nay to NP #1 and yay to NP #2 and 3.

Eric motioned to approve meeting minutes from October 21, 2024. Jo Beth provided a second with Tom abstaining.

Jo Beth motioned to approve October 21, 2024 nonpublic meeting minutes #1 with a second from Eric and approval via roll call vote.

Nancy Crosby, treasurer, presented TAN (Tax Anticipation Note) information received from Woodsville Guaranty Bank. We can apply for a maximum of \$500k. With the school district not having submitted their information to DRA yet, we have been unable to set our own tax rate and issue tax bills. No new status on the school's submission has been received as of this meeting. Jo Beth motioned to proceed with gathering information and applying for the TAN. Nancy should proceed preparing the package. Tom wants to make sure the package is signed by the Board. Jeanette confirmed it had to be signed by the Board. Eric provided a second to the motion with the vote being unanimous. Jeanette will send the sample TAN letter to the attorney.

Adam King was present to review road completeness at 75-80% overall. The new pole was placed at Smith Road on Friday. We are waiting for the lines to be moved. The bore test has been completed. There has been no response from the attorney on the purchase of abutting property. Jo Beth would like the Board to direct Jeanette to contact the attorney again about the land purchase. FEMA meetings have been regular but funding will be 30-90 days from approved submission, likely in 2025. The final top coat will be applied in the springtime.

The Clean Diesel Grant truck is finally available for outfitting. Adam is hesitant to take the truck since it means the old one, now running, would need to be destroyed. Additionally, the new truck model is not reviewing well with other owners. Adam and the Board decided that the truck is not what we want, especially at this time. Tom motioned to decline the grant funding and taking delivery of the new truck.

Jeanette confirmed that dealership will not charge a fee for cancelling the order. Eric provided a second with approval via roll call vote. Jeanette will notify the dealer and NH Dept. of Energy to inform them of the Town's decision.

Jeanette presented the need to hold a Public Hearing on Emergency Expenditures. Jeanette will confirm the required posting time for the hearing and take care of that process. Jo Beth motioned with a second from Eric. Approval via roll call vote.

Jo Beth completed the analysis on revenue and expenditures for the Transfer Station. She made handouts available for the Board and some for residents as well. Littleton agreed to provide weight of recycling in the next couple deliveries so that we can have an idea of the amount of recycling we are processing at the transfer station. She noted that Kyle categorized revenue from the Transfer Station only by Large Bags, Small Bags, and Demo, with Demo including tires, electronics, appliances and everything else. She recommended working with Kyle to obtain more detail on the deposit slips, so we can more accurately track revenue with disposal expense. She noted that Tom volunteered to do this analysis in early January, and that had that occurred, we would have better data for 2024. Pricing comparison was made with surrounding towns' pricing for trash bags and construction/demo debris and other items. The purpose of the analysis is to decide how much, if any, the town wants to subsidize the transfer station, and if any of our rates should be adjusted. Jay Ennis asked about the affects this will have on dumping debris roadside throughout town. Nancy Crosby echoed Jay's comments and stated that subsidizing the transfer station is good since the town has to provide the service to its residents. Pam Kathan asked where Jo Beth got her numbers from for comparison. Jo Beth stated it was on the other municipalities' websites. Jo Beth recommended additional discussion on the topic.

Jeanette gave an update on the Tillotson Park sign dedication. The event went well with perfect weather and the Tillotson family present. There was also a nice write-up in the Coos County Democrat sharing the dedication event. There are news uses for the park with limited possibilities like a flea market or ice skating suggested.

Jo Beth motioned to approve and execute the personnel policy, previously approved and then sent to legal for their comments. Tom asked for additional time to review. Jo Beth noted the board has had the policy to review for a couple of months, and legal provided only a minor change (provided by email to the Board). She recommended that the Board proceed with approval of the policy, as the updates have already been implemented. Eric provided a second to the motion with Tom voting nay. Jo Beth and Eric executed the policy.

We are set to move forward with the Tax Deeded Property Auction the first week in December. More information to come.

The Right to Know Responses are still outstanding from Eric and Tom. Eric claims he responded with everything he sent. Jeanette stated that he only replied to one. There are four other requests, and they are all asking for something different. Each request requires a separate response. Tom had no comments.

There were no committee updates.

There were no grant updates.

Jeanette provided a Health Trust benefit renewal package to the Board. There is an increase again for 2025, up nearly 10% (not 7.6% as originally stated in the meeting) with the short term and life insurance policies decreasing almost 2%. Board members asked for the information to be emailed to them for review. Jeanette confirmed she would send the information out via email.

Primex's liability and workers comp premiums increased 22% and 13%, respectively.

The Town is set for the November 5, 2024 election. Tom will represent the Board from about 8 to noon; Jo Beth from approx. 11am to 5; and Eric from approx. 5 to closing. Cathleen Fountain confirmed the checklist is ready for the election.

Jeanette presented the assessing proposal from KRT Appraisal. They can complete our general assessing for \$1200/month for a period of 5 years. They provided additional information for the revaluation that would need to take place in 2028. Jo Beth motioned to proceed with the general assessing contract. Eric provided a second with approval via roll call. The revaluation will be discussed and decided upon in the near future.

Certified Computer's contract renewal proposal was provided for review and approval. Jo Beth motioned with Eric providing a second. Approval via roll call vote.

Mandy Deveno submitted information she wished to address with the Board. Copy attached. Eric stated he went to Coventry but not as a selectman. Both Jo Beth and Mandy stated he already said he went as a selectman and it's noted in the minutes. Mandy asked if he went to Bethlehem to ask for their host community agreement. He stated no. When asked why not, he claimed they came to Dalton. Casella came to Dalton, not Bethlehem. Mandy, being new to the area, has heard there were some issues between Bethlehem and Casella and she would like him at the Chair to do his due diligence and have them come speak with us so we don't make the same mistakes they may have made. Mandy reminded the Board that Tom and Eric have not yet provided the response to her right to know request. Eric stated he would respond. Tom stated that all the information he has come to him through the meetings and that he has nothing on his computer. Mandy asked if he had no conversations with Casella for the agreements. Tom stated no. Eric stated he went to Coventry because he heard it was a craphole. Jeanette suggested that Tom and Eric read the RTK requests again. Tom stated that if he was given the dates, he would tell her. Mandy stated that he has the dates, and that is not something she should need to provide to him for her information request. Tom stated he will have to look back in the minutes. Mandy stated she does not believe that is the only communication Tom has had with Casella. Tom stated she can believe what she wants and asked for Eric to call the meeting to order. Tom added that he construed this as harassment.

Kris Ennis asked about once the DES permits are issued John Gay of Casella had stated a minimum of 3 years before GSL will be open. Figure you have January and a few more months for others to receive additional requested information in order to be deemed complete, plus three years. If the town were to use Carberry as an alternative, will it be at the same rate? Jo Beth stated there would be an annual increase of 5% per year or more per Casella's proposal. Deadlines for additional materials requested by DES agencies have changed and if the permit submitted in February 2024 is not deemed complete by February 2025, it will restart the entire process. February is the deadline for acceptable complete applications otherwise the permit application is thrown out.

Emily Thompson stated that Carberry has a permit application to submit for expansion. This comment was recognized but it was mentioned that would be for an expansion, where they already have current capacity not whereas they're building a new landfill that has yet to be permitted yet.

Jennifer Green commented that as Town's people, they have a duty to call officials forward to reply to requests. No one wants litigation. Under these RSA's, failure to comply can be pushed into the court system. People must ask for help if they don't understand duties and RSA's. Holding people responsible is not harassment, it is the duty of the residents. Making such statements is inflammatory and inappropriate.

Kris Ennis added that she had reviewed minutes back to March and noticed Tom had abstained from nearly 50% of all the minutes. Tonight alone, he abstained from 4 sets of minutes. Without approved minutes or discussion on what you don't agree with – it leaves things open to interpretation causing chaos. She asked Tom to alleviate these concerns so town people understand town business. She reminded him that transparency was his platform as a candidate. Tom stated he will abstain due to his right. He stated that in the April meeting, he read aloud an RSA that never made it into the notes. He asked about it and it was always an argument. Opinions are added that shouldn't be and it's not worth sticking his neck out and arguing over it. Kris stated that as a resident, she has a right to know this information and maybe if there was an official recording of the meetings, that Tom and Eric voted against, then there would be evidence that you're not being listened to. Kris took offense at Tom stating she was harassing him with her requests. Tom stated he didn't care, whatever, that she can state what she wants. Kris stated that residents give simple right to know requests that he doesn't answer and then says he doesn't care that citizens of this town are asking for him to be transparent. She asked Tom: Why is he a select board member? Eric asked Tom if he wanted to answer. Tom stated he would think about it and told Kris he would work on it. Kris thanked him for his answer.

Pam Kathan asked to move the meeting on as she didn't want to be there all night. She asked if a meeting has been set up for the three board members and legal since there is a lot of crap going on and the three selectmen need to talk to legal. Tom stated that our old legal suggested that as well. Jo Beth stated the Board had asked Jeanette to setup a meeting with legal and town admin regarding Casella's letter. Pam suggested that the 3-board members meet with legal together. Jo Beth stated Jeanette needs to be involved, as evidenced by the invoice that she needs to reconcile, and so that she can follow-up with items on behalf of the Board. Jo Beth let Pam know we had not heard back from legal yet on the meeting. Pam asked if Jeanette actually asked legal to meet with the select board. Pam asked again if there was a date setup to meet with legal. Jeanette let Pam know there was no date setup because there hasn't been a response from legal. Jeanette noted Pam seems very passionate about the board members meeting with legal for some reason. Pam replied it is because Jeanette is not a selectman. Jeanette replied that Pam should not be dictating what her job was either.

Additionally, Pam noted there is a draft of nonpublic meeting minutes regarding proposed legal action against Jon Swan with a motion made to seal the minutes. Jeanette asked to see the minutes Pam was looking at. Tom asked how she obtained that. Jeanette stated the Board did not seal those minutes. Pam asked why the motion information was included. Jeanette stated this was made in her error where she should have removed Jo Beth and Eric from the 'motion' line. Jo Beth noted that the Board should have noted and corrected this error. This was one of many meetings which it should have been done in public

session and not nonpublic. The minutes were not sealed and they were part of Jon's right to know request. Tom asked why they went from here to Jon Swan's Facebook when they haven't been approved by the board. Jeanette asked if Tom read Jon's right to know request. Pam added, asking did they even know about Jon's right to know request. Jeanette replied they did because it was sent to them. Jo Beth explained the guidelines for right to know requests and for non-public meetings. Since the meeting minutes were not sealed, they are made available with the meeting minutes. Pam asked if regular meeting minutes are posted as a draft. Jeanette responded that the draft minutes are not available unless there is a right to know request for them. Pam stated as a general question, don't we post draft minutes of public minutes if not approved in time. The Board doesn't post draft minutes routinely. If someone asks for them, they are made available. Pam comments about transparency. It was noted the Board hasn't been routinely posting draft minutes for over two years.

Eric motioned to enter nonpublic at 9:36pm. Jo Beth provided a second with approval via roll call.

Carol Sheltry asked if there will be any discussion after nonpublic. Eric stated there would not be.

Jo Beth motioned to return to public session at 9:49pm. Eric provided a second with approval via roll call.

Eric motioned to seal nonpublic minutes #2 and 3. Jo Beth provided a second with approval via roll call.

Eric motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:50pm. Jo Beth provided a second. Approval via roll call vote.

Minutes Respectfully submitted by Jeanette Charon.

Minutes Approved On: 11/21/24 (date)

Jo Beth Dudley

**Thomas Dubreuil** 

Eric Moore

#### **Nonpublic Session Minutes**

#### Town of Dalton

Date: October 28, 2	<u> 1024 # 1 Legal</u>			
Members Present:	Jo Beth Dudleyx	<u>.                                    </u>		
	Thomas Dubreuil	x		
	Eric Moorex_			
Motion to enter Nonpo	ublic Session made by _	<u>Eric</u> seconded by	Jo Be	<u>th</u>
Specific Statutory Reason	on cited as foundation f	or the nonpublic session	า:	
disciplining of such emp	The dismissal, promotion of the dismissal, promotion of the investigated as a right to a public mandall be granted.	ion of any charges agair	nst him o	her, unless the
RSA 91-A:3, II(b)	The hiring of any person	as a public employee.		•
of any person, other th	Matters which, if discuss an a member of this boa to include any applicat sed on inability to pay o	ard, unless such person on for assistance or tax	requests abateme	an open meeting. This
RSA 91-A:3, II(d) of if discussed in public, we general community.	Consideration of the according to the according to the control of the according to			
_X RSA 91-A:3, II(e threatened in writing of member thereof because adjudicated or otherwise	se of his or her member	board or any subdivisio	n thereof	, or by or against any
RSA 91-A:3, II(i) emergency functions, ir officials that are directly severe damage to proper	y intended to thwart a c	y out such functions, de leliberate act that is inte	veloped b	by local or state safety
Roll Call vote to enter r	nonpublic session:	Jo Beth Dudley	Yes	No
		Thomas Dubreuil	Yes	No
		Eric Moore	Yes	No
Remove public meeting	tape (if applicable).			

Entered nonpublic session at 9:36 a.m./o.m.

Other persons present during n	onpublic session: _	Jeanette Ch	aron, present	and recording	minutes.
Description of matters discusse agreement for Ampersand, terragreement. Eric provided a second	ns previously appro	ved on Octo	ber 21, 2024.		
Note: Under RSA 91-A:3, III. Mi of all actions shall be promptly Minutes and decisions reached meeting, unless, by recorded vothe information likely would aff board, or render the proposed such circumstances, informatio aforesaid circumstances no long	made available for in nonpublic session tends of 2/3 of the medical adversely the reaction of the board in may be withheld	public inspec n shall be pu mbers prese eputation of ineffective, o	tion, except a blicly disclose nt, it is detern any person ot or pertain to to	s provided in the d within 72 how nined that divu her than a mer errorism. In th	his section. urs of the ulgence of mber of this e event of
Motion to leave nonpublic sess  Motion: PASSED DID NOT PA  Nonpublic meeting tape remove	SS (circle one)				<u>.</u>
Public session reconvened at	9:49 a.m./p.m.	made by	*		it is
Affect adversely the reput Render a proposed action Pertains to preparation or	ineffective			his board	
Roll Call Vote to seal minutes:	Jo Beth Dudley Thomas Dubreuil Eric Moore	Yes Yes Yes	No No		
Motion: PASSED / DID NOT PA	SS (circle one)				
These minutes recorded by:	Jeanette Charon				

October 28, 2024

Amanda Deveno

511 Simonds Road

Dalton, NH 03598

I emailed in agenda items for review for all Select Board members and would like to follow up with my three concerns.

The first concern I would like to address is a potential change in the trash company for the town of Dalton. Below is a list of concerns that should be looked at before making a change. Select Board Meeting on January 16, 2024, in which Casella Engineer, Mr. Joe Gay, is quoted saying "I think we mentioned before that all of these permit processes take a long time. We're in the beginning stages of that. Our landfill in Bethlehem is going to be at capacity sometime in 2026, maybe 2027" He also goes on to say "Once all permits are obtained, Casella has about three years of construction before it could begin accepting trash in Dalton, and there is a window of four or five years before it would need that capacity." This timeline cannot move forward until permits are approved. On October 22, 2024, NHDES sent an email from Mary F. Dawn, P. E., Solid Wast Management Bureau, to John Gay to inform Casella of a 3 rd incomplete application. This

will delay approval.

A new time extension agreement for the Granite State Landfill project, relative to AoT Permit Application N. 231113-224. The new deadline for response to the June 24, 2024, department RFMI is January 22, 2025. Casella requested this extension and therefore, the final permit application will be turned in at the earliest, January 2025.

HB 1221: Relative to including solid waste landfills in the definition of development of regional impact. Signed by Gov. Sununu 7-19-24

Due to the uncertain timeline for permit application approval for the Dalton landfill and the possible regional impact review, I feel it would be unwise to change from Mt. Carberry Landfill at this time. There is a clear possibility of 1-2 yrs where the Bethlehem facility will close and the Dalton facility will not be ready to accept trash. We are not under any contract with Mt. Carberry and can leave at any time. However, if we leave now, we may not be able to go back. It would also be important to have the Transfer Station Revenue and Expenditure Review. Why is it not finished?

My second concern is in relation to reviewing Casella's Community Host Agreement with Mr. Skip Sansoucy from Sansoucy and Associates. (I was unable to review the previous meeting notes because they were not approved in a timely manner or posted and therefore made the error of associating Mr. Sansoucy with Under Canvas. Please note my correction from my email.) He has experience in Host Community Agreements. It would be important to consider and lean into people who have legitimate and professional experience to ensure the best possible outcome for the town.

Please confirm that the Board of Selectmen has done their due diligence and solicited information from the other two Casella landfills in the state in regard to their host community agreements? This would be an important and valuable dialogue to discuss any positive and/or negative issues either community has had in regard to their host community agreements, again ensuring the best possible outcome for our community.

Lastly, I remind you that I filed a Right to Know request on October 17, 2024, at 3:45pm. A formal receipt was issued on October 17, 2024, at 4:05pm by the Town Administrator. Jo Beth has complied with my request within the specified time frame as outlined by RSA 91-A:1-a. Tom and Eric have not responded. I once again remind the selectboard members of the Availability in RSA 91-A:4 Records must be available during business hours at the premises of the public body. If not immediately available, respond within five business days: provide the record, deny it in writing with reasons, or acknowledge it in a note of the time needed to respond.

Also see, RSA 91-A:1-a Any information created, accepted or obtained by a quorum of a public body, or by a public agency (such as clerk's office, town administrator or police department), in any physical format, received in or out of a meeting, in furtherance of its official function. Failure to comply with my Right to Know Request will place those board members in violation and in jeopardy of legal litigation. If found guilty, you could be personally liable for legal fees.

Thank you for your time.

# TOWN of DALTON, NH SUMMARY OF TRANSFER STATION REVENUE & EXPENSES

	DESCRIPTION	2024 through Sept. 2024	2024, projected Year End (Annualized)	2023
REVENUE	Dogo	¢20, 222	фос осо	¢20,222
REVENUE	Bags Metals	\$20,222	\$26,962 \$1,716	\$20,222
		\$1,287 \$8,932		\$905
	Demo Tires		\$11,909	\$11,197
	Electronics	\$10	\$13	
	,	\$30	\$40	
	Sm. Appliances Other	\$5	\$7	
	Other	\$30,486	\$40,648	\$32,324
Trnsf to Pay-	per-Bag FUND	\$784	\$1,046	\$2,615
			3	
<b>EXPENSES</b>	Staff Salary	\$13,732	\$18,309	\$15,043
	Electricity	\$277	\$370	\$353
	Disposal & Transportation	\$26,116	\$34,821	\$30,624
	Supplies, Service, Other	\$2,165	\$2,887	\$7,160
		\$42,290	\$56,386	\$53,180
NET		-\$12,588	-\$16,784	-\$23,471
DETAIL	Disposal & Transportation	on EXPENSES		
	Transportation	\$11,367	\$15,156	\$13,600
	Tipping Fees	\$12,432	<i>\$16,57</i> 6	\$14,339
	Hazardous Waste Disposal	\$965		 √
	Electronics Recycling	\$517	\$689	\$1,108
	Refrigerant Recycling			\$522
	Tires	\$835	\$1,113	\$1,055
	y	\$26,116	\$33,535	\$30,624

# TOWN of DALTON, NH TRANSFER STATION RECYCLING -- REVENUE & EXPENSES 2024, Through September 2021

# **RECYCLING EXPENSES (Specific Items)**

							<u>Calcula</u>	
	•				<u>Inv</u>	-	<u>ted</u>	<u>estimated weight</u>
	Inv. Date	<u>Unit</u>	Cost/Unit	# of Units	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>Vender</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>(lbs)</u>
E-Waste	6/21/2024 9/4/2024	Pounds		1146 Gaylord	\$343 \$173 \$516	Littleton	\$0.30	1146 573 1719
Tires	4/15/2024				\$835	Bob's Tire C	ю.	
Hazardous Waste	e Collection 9/30/2024	& Disposa	<b>l</b> \$965			Lancaster		

#### **RECYCLING REVENUE**

			QTY	RATE	Amount	
Metals	1/9/2024 Light Iron	Swap Box	2.63	\$70	\$184	
	4/23/2024 Light Iron	Swap Box	3.97	\$80	\$318	
	6/10/2024 Light Iron	Swap Box	4.84	\$90	\$436	
	9/11/2024 Light Iron	swap Box 2-3 tomorro	4.37	\$80	\$350	
		-	15.81		\$1,287	

#### **RECYCLING & DISPOSAL TS REVENUE - GENERAL\***

**Refrigerants** none recorded in 2024 through September

	Inv. Total	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Rate</u>	
<b>DEMO</b> Debris	\$8,932	ton	unk	unk	*Includes Other Recycling/Disposal Items
Refrigerants	N#1				
Sm. Appliances	\$5				*Not inclusive, included with Demo Debris
Computers, etc.	\$30				*Not inclusive, included with Demo Debris
Carpet					
Fl. Bulbs					
Other					
Tires	\$10				*Not inclusive, included with Demo Debris

<sup>\*</sup>Revenue distribution unknown -- Revenue typically recorded as "Demo" by TS staff

J	COMPARISON OF TRANSFER STATIONS CHARGES, DALTON and SURROUNDING TOWNS	ANSFER §	STATIONS CF	ARGES, DA	LTON and SURROU	NDING TO	SNW
	<u>Item</u>	Unit	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
TIRES		) -	DALTON	WHITEFIELD	LANCASTER	LITTLETON	(tri-town)
	Passenger Vehicle (P) Light Truck (LT)	each	\$5.00		\$4.50 \$4.50	\$2.00	\$5.00
	Trailer &/or Tractor Trailer (TR)	each	\$20.00	,		\$15.00	\$8.00
	Tractor Small Front Tire	each	\$75.00			æ	tbd by attendant
	Skid Steer/Loader Tires Heavv	each	\$400.00		v		tbd by attendant
Tru FURNITURE	Truck/agricultural type URE	each			\$35 - \$310		tbd by attendant
	Chairs - Kitchen	each	\$3.00		varies by size, weight		*
	Small Furniture Large Furniture	each	\$4.00		varies by size, weight varies by size, weight		\$15.00
	Couches/Recliners	each	\$12.00	B	varies by size, weight	\$30.00	\$15.00
	Sectional	each	\$22.00	×	varies by size, weight	\$30.00	
	Sofa Beds	each	\$15.00		varies by size, weight	\$40.00	\$30.00
	White Goods	each	\$12.00		varies by size, weight	\$10.00	
j.	Refrig, Freezers, A/C			,			×
	(any item containing						
	refrigerants)	each	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$15.00	\$15.00	
	Bureau - Small	each		\$5.00			\$10.00
	Bureau - Large	each		\$10.00			\$10.00
	Carpet (10 X 12)	each					\$15.00

										ÿ.	,				* 2	e			*		1	nt (\$5 min) nt (\$5 min)	nt ( <del>¢</del> 5 min)	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	nt (&E min)	nt (\$5 min)	nt (\$5 min)
SNMO	Cost FRANCONIA	(tri-town)	\$23.00	\$23.00	\$23.00		\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00					¥1					,		:	tbd by attendant (\$5 min) tbd by attendant (\$5 min)	thd by attenda	יטט של מינכוומשווי (אָט וווווו) 	ا thd hv attendant (\$5 min)	thd by attendant (\$5 min)	tbd by attendant (\$5 min)
JNDING TO	Cost	LITTLETON				п	\$30.00	\$30.00	\$20.00	a		•		\$15.00					\$5.00								
SURRO	st	STER		\$23.00	\$23.00									\$15.00	6		99				y	ě					
LTON and	Cost	LANCASTER	\$15.00 varies by size	4			\$10.00 varies by size	\$10.00 varies by size	\$10.00 varies by size						200							accepted	\$10 00 accepted		accented	accented	accepted
IARGES, DA	Cost	WHITEFIELD	\$15.00	\$10.00	\$10.00		\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.00					\$10.00		ı		\$1.00	E			\$35.00 tbd by siz	\$10.00	) ) )			
R STATIONS CHARGES, DALTON and SURROUNDING TOWNS	Cost	DALTON	\$15.00	\$12.00	\$8.00		\$15.00	\$12.00	\$8.00		\$0.50			\$10.00			\$5.00				L	\$20.00	\$10.00	) ) ) 1	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$32.00
RANSFER S	Unit		each	each	each	,	each	each	each		per YARD			each			each	each			1	each	pach	each	each	each	each
COMPARISON OF TRANSFE	<u>ltem</u>	Mattresses	King	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Twin	Box Springs	King	Queen/Full	Twin		Carpets & Vinyl	Large-Appliances	(includes anything	«container refrigerants)	Small Appliances	(stereos, vacuums,	etc.)	Cassette/Boom Box	Microwaves	TVe		Up to 36"	"10 to 20"	HD Flat Screen TVs	Up to 38"	38" - 50"	over 50"

	— —	1	l tbd by attendant (\$5 min)	tbd by attendant (\$5 min)	tbd by attendant (\$5 min)		tbd by attendant (\$5 min)		tbd by attendant (\$5 min)								59		·			. 0	0	0					*
SNMC	Cost	(tri-town)	tbd by atten	tbd by atteno	tbd by atteno		tbd by atteno		tbd by atteno								*					\$55.00	\$25.00				58 m		
JNDING TO	Cost	LITTLETON			9				R				e <sup>g</sup>									\$60.00	\$90.00	\$95.00					
COMPARISON OF TRANSFER STATIONS CHARGES, DALTON and SURROUNDING TOWNS	Cost	LANCASTER	\$5.00 accepted	accepted	\$5.00 accepted		\$2.00 accepted		\$15.00 accepted	ž.			· "		\$0.30														
HARGES, DA	Cost	WHITEFIELD	\$5.00	\$10.00 No Chg		·	\$2.00		\$15.00	£.			9	\$35.00					K						\$85.00		\$2.00	E.	\$3.00
STATIONS CH	Cost	DALTON	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$5.00	î			r n									\$35.00	\$45.00	\$22.00	\$40.00				5				
TRANSFER	Unit		each	each	each		each		each	24					per POUND			per load	per load	per YARD	per YARD	per YARD	per YARD	per YARD	per TON		each		each
COMPARISON OF	<u>Item</u>		Monitors, printers	Computer (CPUs)	Fax Machine	VCR's, external	modems	Large printers, fax,	copiers	Add. Chg PER ITEM	for any Computer	Monitor or TV with	<b>Broken or Cracked</b>	Glass	All Electronics	DEMO Material	6' Pickup Bed/Small	Truck Load	8' Pickup Bed	Trailer & Dump truck	Sheetrock & Shingles	Sheetrock	Clean Shingles	Dirty Shingles	Demo Material	Demo Bags 30 Gallon	Size	Demo Bags Large	(leaf/lawn)

COMPARISON OF T	RANSFER S	STATIONS CH	IARGES, DA	TRANSFER STATIONS CHARGES, DALTON and SURROUNDING TOWNS  Unit   Cost   C	JNDING TO	WNS
		DALTON	WHITEFIELD	LANCASTER	LITTLETON	FRANCONIA (tri-town)
Demo/Construction Demo/Construction	per YARD handling fee		æ	?? \$10.00	,	\$45.00
METAL Only		free		×	v	
No Tanks or Drums		a.				
Barrels 50 Gallon Drum 30 Gallon Trash Can	each		\$4.00			
Florescent Bulbs upt to 8' CFS	per foot each	\$0.10				
CFL's, Round, U-Shape 6' - 8'	each	4		i i	\$0.25 \$0.25 \$0.50	·
Toilets	ë		\$10.00		\$7.50	
Misc./Bulky Items	per POUND   per Cubic Yard	·			\$0.15	
Pay-as-You-Throw BAGS			Ą	a.		
Large Small Medium	per roll per roll	\$17.00 \$11.00	\$17.00 \$12.00	\$25.00 \$15.00 \$17.50	\$30.00	\$50.00
<b>Brush</b> Carload		1			\$5.00	

COMPARISON OF TRANSFER STATIONS CHARGES, DALTON and SURROUNDING TOWNS	ANSFER S	TATIONS CH	HARGES, DA	LTON and SURROL	JNDING TO	SNMO
Item	Unit	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
		å			7	FRANCONIA
·	(	DALTON	WHITEFIELD	LANCASTER	LITTLETON	(tri-town)
Truck Load			*		\$10.00	
Larger loads					\$20.00	
Homeowners only, less						
than 5" diameter		6	,	*		no chg
Paper Shredding Service						
Resident/Business p	per POUND				\$0.10	
non-resident/Business p	per POUND	d		8	\$0.20	
Highlighted ITEMS should be reviewed for Dalton Pricing	reviewed for	or Dalton Pric	ing	a.		